Drag Analysis of a Supersonic Fighter Aircraft
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Abstract: For aircraft design, drag optimization is very important for having better flight performance and less fuel consumption. In this study, drag effects of fuselage, wing and tail section are separately analyzed using a generic F-16 fighter aircraft model in ANSYS Fluent CFD tool with polyhedral mesh. Pressure drag and viscous drag effects are shown on different section of the aircraft as fuselage, wing, vertical tail and horizontal tail. Drag values are presented on subsonic, transonic and supersonic flights.
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1 Introduction

To optimize the aircraft drag, it is required to analyze drag effects of fuselage, wing and tail sections. In supersonic flight, effects of aircraft sections to drag becomes more important due to shock wave formations which causes wave drags. The effect of viscous drag, induced drag and wave drag differs on different section of the aircraft. This analysis will help to understand the effects and contributions of aircraft sections to various subsonic and supersonic drag types which may show possible geometric or shape improvements.

Several analytical [1,2,5], experimental [3,4,7,8] and numerical [6,9-11] studies are made related to aerodynamic characteristics of either F-16 or other fighter aircrafts for supersonic, transonic or subsonic regimes. Current CFD tools have now better level of accuracy and may be used to verify the analytical drag estimation methods in order to improve new aircraft designs.

2 Problem Statement

A generic F-16 model with AIM120 on wing tips at 0° angle of attack is analyzed using ANSYS Fluent Version 16.2. 3D F-16 model is created by projections using fuselage cross sections and publicly available pictures and sketches.

Polyhedral mesh is used with 8,395,031 cells. Surface grid is kept as tetrahedral. Polyhedral mesh has less number of elements, less process time, faster convergence [12, 13] compared with tetrahedral mesh. SST k-omega turbulence model is used in the current study. Properties of F-16 aircraft which are used in CFD analysis are given in Table 1.
Figure 1. Polyhedral mesh generated by ANSYS Fluent

Table 1: F-16 properties used in CFD analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>15.03 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing span</td>
<td>10 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing area</td>
<td>27.88 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine</td>
<td>131.6kN GE F110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing airfoil</td>
<td>NACA 64A204 variable chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight altitude</td>
<td>50,000 ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thrust is calculated using momentum change thrust formulation (1). Thus ram drag is also considered in the analysis. Mass flow in engine air inlet and exhaust are calculated by iteration which equalizes thrust to total drag force. Engine air inlet is defined as pressure outlet and engine exhaust is defined as mass flow inlet with average exhaust temperature of 1200 °K. Target mass flow in engine inlet is given in Fluent settings which will be the mass flow equalizing the thrust to drag.

\[
\text{Total Drag} = \text{Thrust} = m_e V_e - m_o V_o \quad (1)
\]

Figure 2 shows the change of total drag in addition to viscous and pressure drag components at different Mach numbers ranging from subsonic, transonic to supersonic regions for the whole aircraft model. The viscous drag is found to be 80% of the total drag in subsonic flight at M=0.3 and pressure drag is approximately 80% of the total drag in supersonic region at M=1.6 (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Total drag coefficient components for the F-16 model at different Mach numbers.
Figure 3 shows contributions of aircraft sections to drag coefficient at different Mach numbers. Wing has less drag contribution in supersonic flight and fuselage has more drag contribution in subsonic flight. Shock waves can be seen in Figure 4 which also gives us the possible causes of wave drags.

![Figure 3: Aircraft section contributions to total drag at different Mach numbers.](image)

In order to see the flow effects in different wing stations, cross sections shown in Figure 5 are used.

![Figure 4: Pressure and velocity contours at 1.6 Mach](image)

![Figure 5: Wing station positions from symmetry line](image)
Figure 6: Pressure coefficient distribution at Wing Station 1

Figure 7: Pressure coefficient distribution at Wing Station 2

Figure 8: Pressure coefficient distribution at Wing Station 3
2.1 Wing Drag Effects

In Figure 8-10 are temperature contour at Station 1, 2, 3 are presented. At wing root, the temperature contours are different than wing middle and wing tip. At wing root, fuselage effects are observed as interference effects.

Figure 9: Temperature contour at Wing Station 1

Figure 10: Temperature contour at Wing Station 2

Figure 11: Temperature contour at Wing Station 3
Figure 12: Pressure contour at Wing Station 1

(a) 0.3 Mach  (b) 0.9 Mach  (c) 1.6 Mach

Figure 13: Pressure contour at Wing Station 2

(a) 0.3 Mach  (b) 0.9 Mach  (c) 1.6 Mach

Figure 14: Pressure contour at Wing Station 3

(a) 0.3 Mach  (b) 0.9 Mach  (c) 1.6 Mach
2.2 Fuselage Drag Effects

In Figure 15-20, fuselage effects are presented. Pressure and temperature contours on different front, side and top views are presented.

Figure 15: Pressure contour at Fuselage

Figure 16: Temperature contour at Fuselage

Figure 17: Temperature contour at Fuselage
Conclusion and Future Work

In the current study, CFD results are analyzed in order to see effects of fuselage and wing in subsonic, transonic and supersonic regimes. At 0.3 Mach, aerodynamic behavior of F-16 at subsonic speeds is
observed. At 0.9 Mach, aerodynamic behavior of aircraft at transonic regime is presented and at 1.6 Mach aerodynamic behavior of aircraft at supersonic regime is presented. Fuselage has highest drag contribution due to its high cross section area at subsonic and supersonic speeds. At subsonic regime, viscous drag has more contribution and at supersonic regime, pressure drag has more contribution. This research is supported by TUBITAK fonds.
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